

## EXAMPLE [2]

### IMPACT SUMMARY TABLE (EXCERPTS)

**Table 2-2**  
**Impact Summary Table – Proposed Project**

Impact	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures <sup>(1)</sup>		Residual Significance
		Proposed (by Applicant) ----- Significance After Mitigation	Recommended (by EIR)	
LAND USE				
L-1: Conversion of land use from agricultural and open space to residential, recreational and commercial uses	Significant	None	None	Significant and Unavoidable
L-2: Change in interface of development with surrounding land uses	Less Than Significant	Mitigation Measure L-2: Design project elements to buffer the project from adjacent uses	None	Less Than Significant
L-3: Compatibility with surrounding agricultural uses	Less Than Significant	Mitigation Measure L-3: Implement Mitigation Measure L-2, which requires project elements to buffer the project from adjacent uses	None	Less Than Significant
L-4: Conversion of land from agricultural to residential, recreational and commercial uses	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	
L-5: Inconsistency with General Plan policy 1.B.9 discouraging development of isolated, remote, and/or walled residential projects	Significant	None	Mitigation Measure L-5: Limit construction of gates	Less Than Significant
POPULATION, EMPLOYMENT, AND HOUSING				
PH-1: Increase in the population of unincorporated Placer County	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	
PH-2: Increase in employment opportunities in Placer County	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	
PH-3: Increase in the supply of housing in south Placer County	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	

(1) Full text of mitigation measures are found in Chapters 4 through X, and are compiled in the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program attached to this EIR.

## EXAMPLE [2] continued

**Table 2-2**  
**Impact Summary Table – Proposed Project**

Impact	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures		Residual Significance
		Proposed (by Applicant) ----- Significance After Mitigation	Recommended (by EIR)	
AIR QUALITY				
A-1: Construction activities would create short-term criteria air pollutant emissions	Significant (short-term); Less Than Significant (long-term)	Mitigation Measure A-1a: Implement Mitigation Measure G-1b, which would prepare and implement a grading and erosion control plan;  Mitigation Measure A-1b: Provide dust controls;  Mitigation Measure A-1c: Maintain construction equipment and vehicles;  Mitigation Measure A-1d: Implement a construction worker trip reduction program;  Mitigation Measure A-1e: Require use of low-emission construction materials and equipment where feasible;  Mitigation Measure A-1f: Implement Mitigation Measure T-1a, which requires preparation and implementation of construction traffic management plans for Bickford Ranch Road and Sierra College Boulevard, and coordination with agencies about construction management for off-site improvements	None	Significant and unavoidable (short-term); Less Than Significant (long-term)
A-2: Increase in localized CO concentrations along affected roadways	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	

## EXAMPLE [2] continued

**Table 2-2**  
**Impact Summary Table – Proposed Project**

Impact	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures		Residual Significance
		Proposed (by Applicant) ----- Significance After Mitigation	Recommended (by EIR)	
A-3: Increase in regional criteria air pollutant emissions	Significant	<p>Mitigation Measure A-3a: Incorporate pedestrian, bicycle, and golf-cart oriented design;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3b: Implement Mitigation Measure T-20, which requires providing bike lanes on Bickford Ranch Road and Lower Ranch Road;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3c: Implement Mitigation Measure T-19a, which would require providing a park-and-ride lot and two bus stops;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3d: Incorporate mixed land uses into the project design to reduce external vehicle trips;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3e: Accommodate and encourage low-emission energy use;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3f: Install only natural gas CNG fireplaces;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3g: Provide public awareness materials;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3h: Incorporate into project CC&amp;Rs the prohibition of open burning of any kind;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3i: Implement an off-site mitigation program to reduce 105 percent of long-term air pollutant emissions</p> <p>----- Less Than Significant</p>	<p>Mitigation Measure A-3j: Provide dedicated parking spaces at the park-and-ride lot with electrical outlets for electric vehicles;</p> <p>Mitigation Measure A-3k: Implement Mitigation Measure T-19b, which would have the applicant participate in fair share of the cost of limited transit services</p>	Less Than Significant

**EXAMPLE [2] continued**

**Table 2-2  
Impact Summary Table – Proposed Project**

Impact	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures		Residual Significance
		Proposed (by Applicant) ----- Significance After Mitigation	Recommended (by EIR)	
A-4: Inconsistent with the goals of the Placer County Air Quality Attainment Plan	Significant	Mitigation Measure A-4: Implement Mitigation Measure A-3a, which requires incorporation of pedestrian, bicycle, and golf-cart oriented design, Mitigation Measure A-3d, which requires incorporation of mixed land uses into the project design to reduce external vehicle trips, Mitigation Measure A-3e, which requires accommodation of and encouraging low-emission energy use, Mitigation Measure A-3f, which requires installing only natural gas CNG fireplaces, Mitigation Measure A-3h, which requires providing public awareness materials, Mitigation Measure T-19a, which requires providing a park-and-ride lot and two bus stops, Mitigation Measure T-19b, which requires the applicant to participate in fair share of the cost of limited transit services, and Mitigation Measure T-20, which requires providing bike lanes on Bickford Ranch Road and Lower Ranch Road	None	Significant and Unavoidable

## EXAMPLE [2] continued

**Table 2-2**  
**Impact Summary Table – Proposed Project**

Impact	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures		Residual Significance
		Proposed (by Applicant) ----- Significance After Mitigation	Recommended (by EIR)	
SOILS, GEOLOGY, AND SEISMICITY				
G-1: Topographic alteration resulting from earth grading	Potentially Significant	Mitigation Measure G-1a: Comply with Placer County ordinances for all grading, drainage and construction of improvements;  Mitigation Measure G-1b: Prepare and implement a grading and erosion control plan;  Mitigation Measure G-1c: Implement Mitigation Measure V-2, which requires implementation of sensitive grading techniques to blend with natural setting;  Mitigation Measure G-1d: Implement Mitigation Measure V-3, which requires minimizing grading within Meadows and Ridges developments	None	Less Than Significant
G-2: Development constraints due to difficult excavation conditions	Potentially Significant	Mitigation Measure G-2: Comply with the conclusions of a site-specific geotechnical investigation	None	Less Than Significant
G-3: Mineral resources rendered inaccessible	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	
G-4: Potential for seismic activity	Less Than Significant	None Warranted	None Warranted	

## EXAMPLE [2] continued

**Table 2-2**  
**Impact Summary Table – Proposed Project**

Impact	Level of Significance	Mitigation Measures		Residual Significance
		Proposed (by Applicant) ----- Significance After Mitigation	Recommended (by EIR)	
G-5: Potential for increased erosion during and after construction	Potentially Significant	Mitigation Measure G-5a: Implement Mitigation Measure G-1a, which requires compliance with Placer County ordinances for all grading, drainage and construction of improvements, Mitigation Measure G-1b, which requires preparation and implementation of a grading and erosion control plan, Mitigation Measure G-2, which requires compliance with the conclusions of a site-specific geotechnical investigation, and Mitigation Measure A-1, which requires the applicant to provide dust controls ----- Potentially Significant	Mitigation Measure G-5b: Implement appropriate trail design, construction and maintenance standards to minimize erosion	Less Than Significant
G-6: Differential settlement of soils under proposed structures	Potentially Significant	Mitigation Measure G-6: Implement Mitigation Measure G-1a, which requires the applicant to comply with Placer County ordinances for all grading, drainage and construction of improvements, Mitigation Measure G-1b, which requires preparation and implementation of a grading and erosion control plan, and Mitigation Measure G-1c, which requires compliance with the conclusions of a site-specific geotechnical investigation	None	Less Than Significant
G-7: Foundation instability	Potentially Significant	Mitigation Measure G-7: Implement Mitigation Measure G-1c, which requires compliance with the conclusions of a site-specific geotechnical investigation	None	Less Than Significant